Hybrid Forecasting of Complex Systems:

Combining Machine Learning with Knowledge-Based Models



Michelle Girvan

Key Collaborators: Ed Ott, Brian Hunt, Jaideep Pathak









Introduction



- Main question: How can we predict the future of some complex time series based on past history?
- Applications: Weather and climate forecasting, stock market prediction, social media behaviors, living systems, and more
- Hybrid Approach: Combine artificial intelligence/machine learning with mathematical modeling

What kinds of systems are hard to predict?

Hurricane Georges GOES-8 2KM Resolution Channel 4 Enhanced IR Sep. 28, 1998 0915 UTC

NOAA

1.21

Gulf of Mexico



Question: What makes systems like the weather hard to predict?

Answer: Chaos



Features of Chaos



- Sensitivity to initial conditions, i.e. the "butterfly effect"
- Lyapunov time = time over which the distance between initially close increases by a factor of e (2.78128...)
- Long term prediction is impossible even though system is *deterministic*
- Behavior can appear random in the long term

Stochastic systems are also hard to predict

3821811929

51/25% 108:58

61.6 % 99.19

How can machine learning help us predict these systems?

Background: Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning



IS NOT NEW

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE



https://blogs.oracle.com/bigdata/difference-ai-machine-learning-deep-learning

Artificial Neural Networks: A kind of machine learning approach that uses networks of neuron-like units to process information



- Information processed through successive layers of neuron-like units. Primarily *feed-forward* architecture.
- Deeper layers perform more sophisticated information processing.
- Weights between the layers are *trained* so that the input matches the desired output for a set of *training data*. Large amounts of training data needed.
- Great for classification tasks, but not as good for predicting time evolution
- Feedback loops can be added to give the system memory but these make training the weights much harder and sometimes impossible

Reservoir Computing

- Provides a way to train Recurrent Neural Networks
- Independently introduced by Jaeger (2001), and Maass et al. (2002).
- Main component is a very high-dimensional system, called the reservoir.
- The reservoir provides a rich repository of dynamics. With training it offers a "universal" dynamical system
- Amenable to simple hardware implementations

Reservoir Computing

Reservoir: Recurrent Artificial Neural Network, capable of rich dynamics

Input: Input through fed into reservoir through fixed, random connections

```
Reservoir Dynamics:

\mathbf{r}(t + \Delta t) = \tanh [\mathbf{Ar}(t) + \mathbf{W}_{in}\mathbf{u}(t)]
```

Output: Output weights are fit to give the best outputs for the *training* data

Key advantage: Training is simple and efficient because only output weights are adjusted

Input Output Layer Layer u(t) u'(t+Δt)

Reservoir

- neuron-like units
- random, sparse connections
- internal firing dynamics

Predicting multiple time steps forward



In prediction mode, the output of the reservoir is fed back in as input

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_R(t) = \mathbf{W}_{\text{out}} \mathbf{r}^{\star}(t)$$
$$\mathbf{r}(t + \Delta t) = \tanh\left[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}(t) + \mathbf{W}_{\text{in}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_R(t)\right]$$

Q: Should the reservoir be critical? Critical Dynamics in Networks of Neurons

Size distribution:



Experimental evidence: Avalanche size and duration distributions follow power-laws (first observed in cultured neurons by Beggs and Plenz, 2003)

CRITICAL BRAIN BYNAMICS 2016

FIFTH ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON CRITICALITY AND THE BRAIN • OCT. 17-19, 2016

Porter Neuroscience Research Center, Room GE610 National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland, USA Organizer: Dietmar Plenz, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) Supported by the NIMH Division of Intramural Research

SPEAKERS:

Demian Battaglia (France) John Beggs (USA) Michael Berry II (USA) Dante R. Chialvo (USA) Mauro Copelli (Brazil) Lucilla de Arcangelis (Italy Alain Destexhe (France) Steve Gotts (USA) J. Michael Herrmann (UK) Patrick Kanold (USA) Marcelo Magnasco (USA) Christian Meisel (USA) Thierry Mora (France) Eilif Mueller (CH) Miguel Munoz (Spain) Matias Palva (Finland) Dietmar Plenz (USA) Tiago Lins Ribeiro (USA) Petra Ritter (Germany)

Q: Should the reservoir be critical?

Lessons from modeling neural networks:



Tuning Parameter (network or dynamics)



 $\Delta = \log_{10}(S_{max}) - \log_{10}(S_{min})$

Information processing is maximized for critical avalanching dynamics: Maximized dynamic range Δ at the critical point. (Kinouchi and Copelli 2006, Shew and Plenz 2013)

A: Spectral radius near I (i.e. critical) gives good results, but we see robust performance over a wide range of values.

Reservoir doesn't have to be an Artificial Neural Network

r



Reservoir requirements

- Input-output system
- Complex repository of dynamics
- Internal memory

Reservoir Computing for Predicting Spatiotemporally Chaotic Systems

- Spatiotemporal Chaos: Pattern evolves in space and time.
 Time evolution is chaotic. Example weather.
- Our test system: Kuramoto Sivasinshy (KS) system, designed to model the chaotic propagation of waves in space and time $y_t = -yy_x - y_{xx} - y_{xxxx}$



Results



Ref: J. Pathak, B. Hunt, M. Girvan, Z. Lu, and E. Ott. Phys. Rev. Lett. (2018).

Results

Ref: J. Pathak, B. Hunt, M. Girvan, Z. Lu, and E. Ott. Phys. Rev. Lett. (2018).

Results

Ref: J. Pathak, B. Hunt, M. Girvan, Z. Lu, and E. Ott. Phys. Rev. Lett. (2018).

What about predicting really large systems?

Solution: Parallel Reservoir Computing

Training Mode

Architecture: Each reservoir takes *inputs from a spatially local neighborhood* and predicts a subset of its neighborhood.

Performance: Using model systems, we have shown this scheme is highly effective for very large systems.

Next challenge: Real weather forecasting

What about predicting *stochastic* systems? A simple test case: Predicting user activity on Twitter

Ref: D. Darmon, J. Sylvester, M. Girvan, and W. Rand, "Predictability of User Behavior in Social Media: Bottom-Up v. Top-Down Modeling," International Conference on Social Computing (2013).

Predicting User Activity on Twitter

twittery

Sample Data for One User

Timestamp

Tweet Text

2013-08-22 12:54:06 Is Your Gmail Social? How to Use Gmail Daily to Build an Engaged Com
2013-08-22 13:11:22 Facebook's Embedded Posts Now Available to Everyone http://t.co/Cc/2013-08-2213:14:06 The Credible Hulk http://t.co/Cc/292sRyy
2013-08-2213:29:02 25 Things You Didn't Know About Ninjas http://t.co/Cc/292sRyy
2013-08-2213:32:59 Twitter Users: Revoke and Reestablish Third Party App Access Now http://t.co/scale.213:48:46
2013-08-2214:17:11 Google Now Adds Cards for NCAA Football Scores, Concert Tickets, Conservent Scale.213:18:03
2013-08-2215:18:03 What is the NSA Really Up To? [COMIC]
http://t.co/KRGzZRCrb

- Divide time into short intervals (600s = 10 minutes)
- Discretize activity: 1 if user tweeted in the interval, 0 if not
- Can we predict the user's activity?
- First approach: Start by trying to predict the user's activity based only on his/her past activity

- Reservoir Computing (RC)
 - "Top-down": Relaxed from very complicated dynamics until maximum predictive capability is reached
 - Black-box, hard to interpret
- Causal State Modeling (CSM) (similar to hidden markov modeling):
 - Looks at the user's past N steps and determines probability of tweeting in the next time step, based on previous behavior
 - **"Bottom-up"**: Aims to construct the simplest predictive model
 - Easy to interpret

Predicting User Activity on Twitter

- Build model for each user separately
- Training: 45 days
- Testing: 4 days
- Look back 10 steps
- Predict ahead I step

• Compare to "majority vote" baseline

Comparing "**top-down**" (RC) and "**bottom-up**" (CSM) approaches

- Both methods showed strong improvements in prediction for a subset of users based on limited info (the user's own previous history)
- Average improvement of the two methods was about the same
- For some users, CSM did better, for others RC did better
- Extensions: we're working on prediction schemes that also take into account the activity patterns in the user's network
- Challenge: how can we combine approaches?

Ref: D. Darmon, J. Sylvester, M. Girvan, and W. Rand, "Predictability of User Behavior in Social Media: Bottom-Up v. Top-Down Modeling," International Conference on Social Computing (2013).

How do we effectively *combine* approaches?

- Sometimes we want to combine 2 or more data-driven approaches, like the "top-down" and "bottom-up" approaches
- Other times we have knowledge of the dynamics (e.g., in weather) and we want to combine *knowledge-based* models with *knowledge-free* (data-driven) models

- Input is fed into both the alternate model(s) and the reservoir (through input layer)
- Output from the alternate model(s) is fed into the reservoir (through input layer) and the output layer
- Weights in the output layer are trained to maximize prediction in the training data.

Ref: J. Pathak, A. Wikner, R. Fussell, S. Chandra, B.R. Hunt, M. Girvan, and E. Ott, "Hybrid forecasting of chaotic processes: using machine learning in conjunction with a knowledge-based model." *Chaos*, 28, 041101 (2018).

Performance of the Hybrid Model for a Simple Test Case

KS System

 $y_t = -yy_x - y_{xx} - y_{xxxx}$

Imperfect "model" $y_t = -yy_x - (1 + \epsilon)y_{xx} - y_{xxxx}$

Ref: J. Pathak, A. Wikner, R. Fussell, S. Chandra, B.R. Hunt, M. Girvan, and E. Ott, "Hybrid forecasting of chaotic processes: using machine learning in conjunction with a knowledge-based model." *Chaos*, 28, 041101 (2018).

Performance of the Hybrid Model for a Simple Test Case

Ref: J. Pathak, A. Wikner, R. Fussell, S. Chandra, B.R. Hunt, M. Girvan, and E. Ott, "Hybrid forecasting of chaotic processes: using machine learning in conjunction with a knowledge-based model." *Chaos*, 28, 041101 (2018).

Can we use the hybrid scheme to combine many ML approaches?

- "Wisdom of crowds": notion that large groups of individuals make high quality collective judgements
- Requires individuals to reach decisions independently for quality judgements
- How does this notion apply to ensembles of machines?

A NEW YORK TIMES BUSINESS BESTSELLER

"As entertaining and thought-provoking as *The Tipping Point* by Malcolm Gladwell. . . . *The Wisdom of Crowds* ranges far and wide." —*The Boston Globe*

THE WISDOM Artificial OF CROWDS JAMES SUROWIECKI

WITH A NEW AFTERWORD BY THE AUTHOR

Conclusions

- Reservoir Computing (RC) provides a promising method for predicting complex time series, harnessing the chaos and complexity within the reservoir
- A parallel RC scheme makes prediction of very large systems feasible
- A hybrid scheme that couple alternate forecasting with the reservoir offers further, often dramatic, improvements
- Hybrid method may help reveal the strengths and weaknesses of ML and alternate models, leading to improvements in both
- Open and exciting questions remain about how to scale this to ensembles and layers of coupled machines

Acknowledgements

Students

- Jaideep Pathak
- Sarthak Chandra
- Sanjukta Krisnagopal
- Alex Wikner
- Rebeckah Fussell
- Zhixin Lu (now a postdoc at Penn)
- David Darmon (now on the faculty at Monmouth U)

Faculty

- Ed Ott, UMD Physics and Electrical Engineering
- Brian Hunt, UMD Mathematics
- Jim Reggia, UMD Computer Science
- Yiannis Aloimonos, UMD Computer
 Science
- Garrett Katz, Syracuse Computer
 Science
- Istvan Szunyogh, Texas A&M Atmospheric Sciences
- Bill Rand, NC State Business School

References

- Pathak, Wikner, Fussell, Chandra, Hunt, Girvan, and Ott. "Hybrid forecasting of chaotic processes: Using machine learning in conjunction with a knowledge-based model." *Chaos* (2018)
- Pathak, Hunt, Girvan, Lu, and Ott. "Model-free prediction of large spatiotemporally chaotic systems from data: A reservoir computing approach." *PRL* (2018).
- Pathak, Lu, Hunt, Girvan, and Ott. "Using machine learning to replicate chaotic attractors and calculate Lyapunov exponents from data." *Chaos* (2017)
- Krishnagopal, Aloimonos, and Girvan. "Similarity Learning and Generalization with Limited Data: A Reservoir Computing Approach." Complexity (2018).
- Darmon, Girvan, and Rand. "Predictability of user behavior in social media: Bottom-up v. topdown modeling." *IEEE International Conference on Social Computing* (2013).
- Darmon, Rand, and Girvan. "Computational landscape of user behavior on social media." PRE (2018).