Coagulation dynamics in branching processes

Bob Pego (Carnegie Mellon)

Collaborators: Gautam Iyer (CMU) and Nick Leger (Houston)

Modest goals: In the 'garden of branching processes,' do some weeding.

- Explain the source of coagulation equations in work of Bertoin-Le Gall
- Improve continuum limit analysis: Galton-Watson \rightarrow continuous state (CSBP)
- Simplify continuum limit criteria, via *Bernstein function* theory
- New results on universality (type 2 and type 3)
- Develop analogy: $GW \rightarrow CSBP \sim X_1 + \ldots X_n \rightarrow Y$ infinitely divisible
- Dynamic renormalization \sim *dilation* in Lévy-Khintchine representation

Smoluchowski's coagulation equation (weak form, K = 2)

The size distribution:

$$\int_{(0,x]} \nu_t(dz) = \# \text{ of clusters of size} \le x$$

of a system of clustering particles evolves according to $(z_1, z_2 \mapsto z_1 + z_2 = x)$

$$\partial_t \int_0^\infty a(x) \,\nu_t(dx) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \tilde{a}(z_1, z_2) \,\nu_t(dz_1) \,\nu_t(dz_2) \,,$$
$$\tilde{a}(z_1, z_2) = a(z_1 + z_2) - a(z_1) - a(z_2).$$

• Choosing $a(x) = 1 - e^{-qx}$ yields $\tilde{a}(z_1, z_2) = -(1 - e^{-qz_1})(1 - e^{-sz_2}).$

Then
$$\varphi(t,q) := \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-qx}) \nu_t(dx) \implies \boxed{\partial_t \varphi = -\varphi^2}$$

Bernstein's theorem and topology of Laplace transforms

Definition $g: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is completely monotone (CM) if g is C^{∞} and

 $(-1)^k g^{(k)}(q) \ge 0 \qquad \forall q > 0.$

• **Theorem** (Bernstein) g is completely monotone if and only if

$$g(q) = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-qx} G(dx) \quad =: \mathcal{L}G(q)$$

for some measure G on $[0,\infty)$. Notation: $G(x) = \int_{[0,x]} G(dx)$

• Continuity theorem for Laplace transforms: As $n \to \infty$,

i) $G_n(x) \to G(x)$ a.e. $\implies \mathcal{L}G_n(q) \to \mathcal{L}G(q) \quad \forall q > 0.$

ii) $\mathcal{L}G_n(q) \to g(q) \quad \forall q > 0 \implies g = \mathcal{L}G \text{ with } G_n(x) \to G(x) a.e.$

Bernstein transforms and the topology of Lévy triples



Definition $\varphi: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is Bernstein if φ is C^{∞} and φ' is CM.

• Theorem
$$\varphi$$
 is Bernstein $\Leftrightarrow \left| \varphi(q) = a_0 q + a_\infty + \int_E (1 - e^{-qz}) \mu(dz) \right|$

for some Lévy triple (a_0, a_∞, μ) : $a_0, a_\infty \ge 0$ and $\int_E (z \land 1) \mu(dz) < \infty$.

Bernstein transforms and the topology of Lévy triples



Definition $\varphi: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is Bernstein if φ is C^{∞} and φ' is CM.

• Theorem
$$\varphi$$
 is Bernstein $\Leftrightarrow \varphi(q) = a_0 q + a_\infty + \int_E (1 - e^{-qz}) \mu(dz)$

for some Lévy triple (a_0, a_∞, μ) : $a_0, a_\infty \ge 0$ and $\int_E (z \land 1) \mu(dz) < \infty$.

• We associate the measure $\kappa(dz) = a_0\delta_0 + (z \wedge 1)\mu(dz) + a_\infty\delta_\infty$ on $[0,\infty]$

Continuity theorem for Lévy triples (cf. Menon-P 2008)

Let $(a_0^{(n)}, a_\infty^{(n)}, \mu^{(n)})$ be a sequence of Lévy triples,

$$\varphi^{(n)}(q) = a_0^{(n)}q + a_\infty^{(n)} + \int_E (1 - e^{-qz})\mu^{(n)}(dz)$$

$$\kappa^{(n)}(dz) = a_0^{(n)}\delta_0 + (z \wedge 1)\mu^{(n)}(dz) + a_{\infty}^{(n)}\delta_{\infty}$$

Then TFAE:

(i) $\varphi(q) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi^{(n)}(q)$ exists for each q > 0.

(iii) $\kappa^{(n)}$ converges weakly to some measure κ on $[0,\infty]$, meaning

$$\langle f, \kappa^{(n)} \rangle \to \langle f, \kappa \rangle$$
 for all $f \in C([0, \infty])$.

If these conditions hold, the limit quantity φ , κ is that associated as above with a unique Lévy triple (a_0, a_{∞}, μ) .

Generalized Smoluchowski dynamics in branching processes

Bertoin-Le Gall 2006: Critical continuous-state branching processes (CSBPs) that are extinct a.s. are associated with the generalized Smoluchowski equation

$$\partial_t \langle a, \nu_t \rangle = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} R_k(t) I_k(a, \nu_t)$$
 (GS)

 $I_k(a,\nu_t)$ is the expected change in the 'moment' $\langle a,\nu_t \rangle = \int_0^\infty a(z) \, \nu_t(dz)$

$$I_k(a,\nu_t) = \int_{(0,\infty)^k} \left(a(z_1 + \dots + z_k) - \sum_{j=1}^k a(z_j) \right) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\nu_t(dz_j)}{\langle 1,\nu_t \rangle}.$$

 $R_k(t)$ is the rate at which k clusters simultaneously coalesce: with $ho = \langle 1,
u_t \rangle$,

$$R_k = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho z} (\rho z)^k}{k!} \pi(dz) \quad \text{where} \quad \int_0^\infty (z^2 \wedge z) \, \pi(dz) < \infty. \quad \text{Why??}$$

Bernstein transform of (GS)

The Bernstein transform
$$\varphi(t,q) := \int_0^\infty (1-e^{-qs}) \nu_t(ds)$$
 satisfies

$$\partial_t \varphi = -\Psi(\varphi) \quad , \quad \Psi(u) = \hat{\beta} \frac{u^2}{2} + \int_{(0,\infty)} \left(e^{-uz} - 1 + uz \right) \pi(dz).$$

This equation is well-known in the CSBP literature: A CSBP X(t,x) is a Lévy process in x, with Laplace exponent φ , and Lévy jump measure $\nu_t(ds)$:

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-qX(t,x)}) = e^{-x\varphi(t,q)}$$

The rates $R_k = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho z} (\rho z)^k}{k!} \pi(dz) = \frac{(-\rho)^k \Psi^{(k)}(\rho)}{k!}$

Qs: Where do ν_t , π come from? Can one do scaling limit analysis?

A Galton-Watson branching process

is a Markov chain $n \mapsto X_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

 $X_n =$ total population at generation n= # of nodes at level n in a random tree



Each *parent* has k *children* iid with law $\hat{\pi}(k)$



Galton-Watson dynamics

 $P_n(j,k) := \Pr\{X_n = k \mid X_0 = j\}$ is the *n*-step transition probability of $j \to k$

 $\nu_n(k) := P_n(1,k)$ is the *clan size distribution* after *n* generations.

 $\hat{\pi}(k) := P_1(1,k)$ is the family size distribution.

• The branching property implies $X_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{n,i}$ with iid $\xi_{n,i} \sim \hat{\pi}$, thus

$$\nu_{n+1}(k) = \sum_{j \ge 1} \nu_n(j) \hat{\pi}^{*j}(k) = \sum_{j \ge 1} P_n(1,j) P_1(j,k).$$

 $\mathsf{Markov} \implies \boxed{\nu_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{j \ge 1} \hat{\pi}(j) \nu_n^{*j}(x)} = \sum_{j \ge 1} P_1(1,j) P_n(j,x)$

Generating function and Bernstein transform

$$G_n(z) = \sum_{j \ge 1} \nu_n(j) z^j \implies G_{n+1} = G_1 \circ G_n$$

The Bernstein transform $\hat{\varphi}_n(q) := \sum_{j \ge 1} \nu_n(j)(1 - e^{-qj}) = 1 - G_n(e^{-q})$ satisfies $\hat{\varphi}_{n+1}(q) - \hat{\varphi}_n(q) = -\hat{\Psi}(\hat{\varphi}_n(q))$, $\hat{\Psi}(s) = G(1-s) - 1 + s$.

If X is critical ($\sum_{\mathbf{j}\geq 0}\hat{\pi}(j)=1$) then

$$\hat{\Psi}(s) = \sum_{j\geq 2} \left((1-s)^j - 1 + js \right) \hat{\pi}(j).$$

Continuous-size, continuous-time limits (CSBP)

Let $h = \operatorname{grid}$ size, $\tau = \operatorname{time}$ step. Rescale size via $j \mapsto jh$ and let

$$\tilde{\nu}_n(dx) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j>0} \nu_n(j) \delta_{jh}(dx), \qquad \tilde{\pi}(dx) = \frac{1}{\tau h} \sum_{j>0} \hat{\pi}(j) \delta_{jh}(dx).$$

One finds $ilde{arphi}_n(q):=\langle 1-e^{-qx}, ilde{
u}_n
angle$ satisfies

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{n+1}(q) - \tilde{\varphi}_n(q)}{\tau} = -\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{\varphi}_n(q)),$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}(u) = \int_{(0,\infty)} \left((1-hu)^{x/h} - 1 + xu \right) \tilde{\pi}(dx).$$

Theorem

a) Let
$$h_k, \tau_k \to 0$$
 and $(x \wedge 1)(x - h_k)\tilde{\pi}_k(dx) \to \kappa(dz)$ weak-* on $[0, \infty]$.

Then $\tilde{\Psi}(u) \to \Psi(u) = a_0 \frac{u^2}{2} + a_\infty + \int_{(0,\infty)} \left(e^{-uz} - 1 + uz \right) z^{-1} \mu(dz).$

Continuous-time limits: coalescence with multiple clustering

b) Assume further $(x \wedge 1)\nu_0(dx) \to \delta_0$ weak-* on $[0, \infty]$. (E.g., $p_0(1) = 1$) Then $n\tau \to t \implies \tilde{\varphi}_n(q) \to \varphi(t, q)$ where

$$\partial_t \varphi = -\Psi(\varphi)$$
 $\forall t > 0, \qquad \varphi(0,q) = q.$

This entails $(x \wedge 1)\tilde{\nu}_n \to (x \wedge 1)\nu_t$, with $\varphi(t,q) = \langle 1 - e^{-qx}, \nu_t \rangle$.

Ala Bertoin-Le Gall, we infer ν_t solves (GS) provided $\rho = \langle 1, \nu_t \rangle < \infty$ for t > 0. This is known to be equivalent to

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\Psi(u)} < \infty \tag{E}$$

We call this ν_t the fundamental solution of (GS): $(x \wedge 1)\nu_t(dx) \rightarrow \delta_0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Universality 1: *typical* **limits**

Suppose the family-size distribution $\hat{\pi}$ has a finite second moment:

$$m_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 \hat{\pi}(j) < \infty.$$

Then with $\tau = h (m_2 - 1)$ we have $(x \wedge 1)(x - h)\tilde{\pi}(dx) \rightarrow \kappa = \delta_0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, whence

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$$

and ν_t is the fundamental solution of Smoluchowski's equation with constant kernel K = 1.

Universality 2: arbitrary limits (a la Doeblin)

Theorem There exists *some* (far from unique) family-size distribution $\hat{\pi}$ and sequences h_n , $\tau_n \to 0$, such that: For *every* finite measure

 $\kappa(dz) = a_0 \delta_0 + (z \wedge 1) z \pi(dz) + a_\infty \delta_\infty$ on $[0, \infty]$,

there is some subsequence h_{n_k}, τ_{n_k} along which the hypothesis of a) holds.

The conclusion implies that *every possible* critical CSBP is a limit of rescalings of one particular "universal" Galton-Watson process, along some subsequence.

The proof exploits a resemblance between *Bernoulli shifts* and the rescalings induced on κ -measures (Lévy triples) by

$$\kappa(dz) = (z \wedge 1) \, z \, \pi(dz) \qquad \mapsto \qquad \tilde{\kappa}(dz) = (z \wedge 1) \, \frac{1}{\tau} \, \frac{z}{h} \, \pi\left(\frac{dz}{h}\right)$$

The same technique of "packing the tail" of the starting distribution is described by Feller to constuct *Doeblin's universal laws* in probability.

Universality 3: long-time scaling limits for (GS): necessary and sufficient conditions

Theorem Assume (E). Let ν_t be the fundamental solution of (GS). Then TFAE: (i) there exist a probability measure $\hat{\mu}$ and $\lambda(t) > 0$ such that the rescalings

$$\tilde{\nu}_t(dx) := \frac{\nu_t(\lambda(t)^{-1}dx)}{\langle 1, \nu_t \rangle} \quad \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \hat{\mu}(dx)$$

weakly on $(0,\infty)$.

(ii) Ψ is regularly varying at 0 with index $1 + r \in (1, 2]$, and $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}_1$ where $\hat{\mu}_t$ is self-similar, with generalized Mittag-Leffler profile

$$\int_0^x \hat{\mu}_1(dy) = F_{r,1}(\beta x) = -\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(r)_k}{k!} \frac{(-(\beta x)^r)^k}{\Gamma(1+rk)},$$

where $\beta = \langle x, \hat{\mu}_1 \rangle^{-1}$. Furthermore, $\lambda(t) \sim \beta \langle 1, \hat{\mu}_t \rangle^{-1}$.

Idea of the proof (well, not exactly)

Rescale and dilate time via $(
ho(s) = \langle 1,
u_s
angle)$

$$t = s\hat{t}, \qquad \nu_{\hat{t}}^{s}(dx) = \frac{\nu_{s\hat{t}}(\lambda(s)^{-1} dx)}{\rho(s)}, \qquad \varphi_{s}(\hat{t}, q) = \frac{\varphi(s\hat{t}, \lambda(s)q)}{\rho(s)},$$

getting a *renormalized* equation

$$\partial_{\hat{t}}\varphi_s = -\Psi_s(\varphi_s), \qquad \Psi_s(u) = \frac{s\Psi(\rho(s)u)}{\rho(s)} = \int_{(0,\infty)} (e^{-uz} - 1 + uz)\pi_s(dx).$$

• With $\hat{\varphi}(q) = \langle 1 - e^{-qx}, \hat{\mu} \rangle$ the hypothesis means

$$\varphi_s(1,q) \xrightarrow[s \to \infty]{} \hat{\varphi}(q) \qquad \forall q \in [0,\infty].$$

By study of the renormalized solution formula for the ODE and the assumed uniqueness of the limit, prove that necessarily

$$\lim_{s o\infty}\Psi_s(u)$$
 exists, hence (by scaling rigidity) $=cu^{1+r}$ and Ψ is r.v.

Conclusions for critical CSBPs that go extinct a.s.

Below we write $\delta_{\lambda,\alpha}X(\overline{x}) := \lambda X(\overline{\alpha x}).$

Theorem Let X(t,x) be a critical CSBP with branching mechanism Ψ satisfying

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\Psi(u)} < \infty \tag{E}$$

Then TFAE:

(i) There exists a nondegenerate Lévy process $\hat{X} = \hat{X}(x)$ and functions $\alpha, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\delta_{\lambda(t),\alpha(t)}X(t,\cdot) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \hat{X}(\cdot).$$
(2)

(ii) Ψ is regularly varying at u = 0 with index $1 + r \in (1, 2]$.

Conclusions for critical CSBPs that go extinct a.s.

Below we write $\delta_{\lambda,\alpha}X(x) := \lambda \overline{X(\alpha x)}.$

Theorem Let X(t,x) be a critical CSBP with branching mechanism Ψ satisfying

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\Psi(u)} < \infty \tag{E}$$

Then TFAE:

(i) There exists a nondegenerate Lévy process $\hat{X} = \hat{X}(x)$ and functions $\alpha, \lambda > 0$ such that

$$\delta_{\lambda(t),\alpha(t)}X(t,\cdot) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \hat{X}(\cdot).$$
(2)

(ii) Ψ is regularly varying at u = 0 with index $1 + r \in (1, 2]$.

Thank you!