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## Outline

$\square$ From IB (Peskin) to IIM (LeVeque/Li)
$\square$ Motivations of this talk: Accurate gradient computation at the interface/boundary for Cartesian grid methods
$\square$ A new augmented IIM
$>$ FD Poisson equations, regular problem $\rightarrow$ piecewise constant coef. $\rightarrow$ Variable coef.
$>$ Optimal complexity $O(N \log (N)), 2^{\text {nd }}$ accurate solution \& gradient and proof (Claim to be the 'best')
$\square$ Numerical results
$\square$ Convergence analysis
$\square$ Conclusions

## From IB to IIM

$\square$ Peskin's IB method
$>$ Mathematical modeling
$>$ Numerical method: discrete delta function
$>$ Simple, robust, many applications
$>$ First order, elliptic (Li, elliptic with Dirichlet BC), Stokes with periodic BC (Mori)
$\square$ IIM (LeVeque/Li)
$>$ Second order or higher
> Use jump conditions (from PDE or physics) instead of `delta functions
$>$ Best discrete delta function?
$>$ Finite difference (IIM, AIIM) and element (IFEM)
$\square$ How to compute the solution \& gradient accurately?

# Motivations for Accurate 

## Gradient

$\square$ Many free boundary/moving interface problems depend on the first order derivatives of the solution
DFor finite difference (FD) methods based on Cartesian meshes, there are a number of $2^{\text {nd }}$ or higher order methods, but the derivatives are less accurate especially near the boundary/interface
DFEM: $L^{2}: O\left(h^{2}\right), H^{1}: O(h)$, at interface?

## Some Examples

-The 1D Stefan problem modeling the icewater interface, let $\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{t})$ be the free boundary, $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{t})$ be the temperature

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad 0<x<s(t) \\
& -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, t)=f(t), \text { inlet heat flux at left end } \\
& u(s(t), t)=0, \quad \text { the right end is the freezing temperature } \\
& \frac{d s}{d t}=-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(s(t), t), \text { the Stefan condition } \\
& u(x, 0)=0, \quad s(t)=0, \text { Initial conditions }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stefan problem in 2D \& Crystal Growth

DLeft: 2D Stefan problem. Right: Formulations of Snowflakes. Heat equation with non-linear BC

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solid } T<T_{M} \\
& \rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=\nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla T), \quad \rho L V=-\left[\beta \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right] \\
& T(x, t)=-\varepsilon_{c} \kappa-\varepsilon_{V} V, \quad \frac{d X}{d t} \cdot n=V
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stefan Problem and Crystal Growth

$\square 1^{\text {st }}$ derivatives are involved
$\square$ Stability analysis: dynamically unstable for some medium modes ( $\exp (-k I t))$
(a)
(c)

(b)

(d)


## Simulation: Crystal Growth




## A moving interface example

$\square$ NSE equations with unknown surface tension, an inverse problem
$\square$ Both the area/length should be preserved.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \cdot \nabla u\right)+\nabla p=\mu \Delta u+\int_{\Gamma} f(s, t) \delta(x-X(s, t)) d s+g \\
& f(s, t)=\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\sigma(t, s) \tau)+f_{b} \\
& \quad=\sigma(s, t) \kappa n+\frac{\partial \sigma(s, t)}{\partial s} \tau+f_{b} \\
& \nabla \cdot u=0,\left(\partial_{s} \cdot u\right)_{\Gamma}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \cdot \tau=0
\end{aligned}
$$



## Model Problems

## 口1D:

( $\left.\beta u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\sigma u=C \delta(x-\alpha) \quad 0<x<1$ $u(0)=0, \quad u(1)=0$

-2D
$\nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)-\sigma u=f+\int_{\Gamma} C(s) \delta(x-X(s)) d s$
or $\nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)-\sigma u=f,[u]=w,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=C(s)$

## Methods for Gradients Review

IFD with Cartesian mesh and central FD scheme: For regular problem \& regular domain, the derivatives have the same order as the solution.
$\square$ The difficulty is for general boundaries and interfaces.
> In FEM, posterior error analysis to get more accurate derivatives, depends on mesh quality
$>$ In FEM, mixed FEM or least squares FEM. It will lead to saddle problem and computationally expensive
>DG for conservation laws
$>$ FD for elliptic and parabolic problems: ???

## Results (old \& new) in 1D

Accuracy of $u_{x}$ at the boundary/interface
$\square$ At the boundary, 3-point one-sided, provide $2^{\text {nd }} u_{x}$
$\square$ At the interface (singular source or discontinuous coef
$>3$-point one-sided FD scheme is $1^{\text {st }}$ order
$>$ IIM (compact FD, two-sided) is $2^{\text {nd }}$ order in Cartesian, polar, and spherical. NCSU-2015 REU project.
$>1$ D


## My NCSU 2015 REU Group



## IIM in 1D, simple case

DFD scheme for ( $\left.\beta u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\sigma u=C \delta(x-\alpha)$

$$
\gamma_{j-1} U_{j-1}+\gamma_{j} U_{j}+\gamma_{j+1} U_{j+1}=f_{j}+C_{j}
$$

DDetermine the coefficients and the correction term

$$
\gamma_{j-1}, \gamma_{j}, \gamma_{j+1}, C_{j}
$$

DInterface relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{+}=u^{-}, u_{x}^{+}=\frac{\beta^{-}}{\beta^{+}} u_{x}^{-}+C, \quad u_{x x}^{+}=\frac{\beta^{-}}{\beta^{+}} u_{x x}^{-} \\
x_{0}=0^{\beta^{-}} \\
x_{1}^{\prime}, \beta^{+}, x_{N}=1 \\
x_{j}^{+\ominus} x_{j+1}^{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

## IIM in 1D: Set-up equation

$\square$ The linear system for the coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{j-1}+\gamma_{j-1}+\gamma_{j+1}=0 \\
& \gamma_{j-1}\left(x_{j-1}-\alpha\right)+\gamma_{j}\left(x_{j}-\alpha\right)+\gamma_{j+1} \frac{\beta^{-}}{\beta^{+}}\left(x_{j+1}-\alpha\right)=0 \\
& \gamma_{j-1} \frac{\left(x_{j-1}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2}+\gamma_{j} \frac{\left(x_{j}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2}+\gamma_{j+1} \frac{\beta^{-}}{\beta^{+}} \frac{\left(x_{j+1}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2}=\beta^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ The correction term is

$$
C_{j}=C \gamma_{j+1} \frac{\beta^{-}}{\beta^{+}}\left(x_{j+1}-\alpha\right)
$$

## Interpolation scheme for $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$

$\square$ Three points from both sides plus correction term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{x}(\alpha-)=\tilde{\gamma}_{j-1} U_{j-1}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j} U_{j}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j+1} U_{j+1}+\tilde{C}_{j} \\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{j-1}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j-1}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j+1}=0 \\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{j-1}\left(x_{j-1}-\alpha\right)+\tilde{\gamma}_{j}\left(x_{j}-\alpha\right)+\tilde{\gamma}_{j+1} \frac{\beta^{-}}{\beta^{+}}\left(x_{j+1}-\alpha\right)=1 \\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{j-1} \frac{\left(x_{j-1}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j} \frac{\left(x_{j}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2}+\tilde{\gamma}_{j+1} \frac{\beta^{-}}{\beta^{+}} \frac{\left(x_{j+1}-\alpha\right)^{2}}{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2D Results for Gradients (old \& new)

Accuracy of $u_{x} \& u_{y}$ at the interface
$\square$ Singular source only (i.e. $\beta=1,[u] \neq 0,\left[u_{n}\right] \neq 0$ )
$>$ One-sided FD scheme is $1^{\text {st }}$ order.
$>$ IIM (compact FD, two-sided) is $2^{\text {nd }}$ order (Beale \& Layton). One of the basis of the new method.
$\square$ Direct: Maximum principle preserving (Li/lto): soln $2^{\text {nd }}$, gradient, not sure yet
$\square$ Piecewise constant $\beta$, FIIM (Li, SINUM, 1997), $2^{\text {nd }}$ solution (proved), $2^{\text {nd }}$ gradient (observed before, now proved)
$\square$ Variable $\beta,[\beta] \neq 0,2^{\text {nd }}$ solution and gradient (h²log h) with proof, 2015.

## 2D Problem \& Analysis

$\square$ Elliptic interface problems with variable \& discontinuous coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)+\sigma u=f+\int_{\Gamma} C(s) \delta(x-X(s)) d s \\
& \text { or } \nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)+\sigma u=f, \quad[u]=w,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=C(s) \\
& \beta(x, y)= \begin{cases}\beta^{-}(x, y) \text { if } & (x, y) \in \Omega^{-} \\
\beta^{+}(x, y) \text { if } & (x, y) \in \Omega^{+}\end{cases} \\
& {[\beta(x, y)]_{\Gamma} \neq 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why elliptic interface problems?

$\square$ It is most expensive part for many simulations processes, e.g. projection method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(u_{t}+u \cdot \nabla u\right)+\nabla p=\mu \Delta u+g \\
& \nabla \bullet u=0 \\
& \frac{u^{*}-u^{k}}{\Delta t}+(u \cdot \nabla u)^{k+1 / 2}+(\nabla p)^{k-1 / 2}=\frac{\mu}{2}\left(\Delta u^{k}+\Delta u^{*}\right)+F^{k+1 / 2} \\
& \Delta \phi=\frac{\nabla \cdot u^{*}}{\Delta t}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathrm{n}}=0 \\
& u^{k+1}=u^{*}-\Delta t \nabla \phi \\
& \nabla p^{k+1 / 2}=\nabla p^{k-1 / 2}+\nabla \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cartesian Grid Methods

$\square$ Peskin's IB method, $1^{\text {st }}$ order, inconsistent (Li, MathCom, 2014)
$\square$ Fast IIM (Li, SINUM), for piecewise constant $\boldsymbol{\beta}$
$\square$ Maximum principle preserving IIM (Li/Ito)
$\square$ Ghost fluid method (Fedkiw/Liu) $1^{\text {st }}-2^{\text {nd }}$ order?
Boundary integral method (X-F. Li, M. Siegel, Mayo, Greengard, ...)
$\square$ MIB (Wei/Zhao)
$\square$ Virtual node method (Teran)
$\square$ IFEM (Li/Lin², He, ...), Petrov-Galerkin (Hou, Ji/Chen/Li ...), IFEV ...
$\square$ XFEM (X-D. Wang, W-K. Liu, J. Doby, ...)
$\square$ Augmented IIM (Li et al), Kernel free method (W. Ying et. al) Which one gives $2^{\text {nd }}$ derivatives? FAST IIM

## Key Ideas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)+\sigma u=f, \quad[u]=w,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v \\
& \rightarrow \Delta u+\frac{1}{\beta} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \beta+\frac{\sigma}{\beta} u=\frac{f}{\beta} \\
& {[u]=w,\left[u_{n}\right]=q,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Reformulate the problem near the interface by introduce augmented variable [ $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ ]
$\square$ Derive different new interface relations using the new formulation
$\square$ Apply the upwind scheme near $\Gamma$ for the advection term(s) to get an M-matrix
$\square$ Apply the GMRES for the Schur complement ([ $u_{n}$ ])

## Poisson Eqn. with singular sources

$$
\Delta u=f(x)+\int_{\Gamma} c(s) \delta(x-X(s)) d s+g
$$

BC (e.g., Dirichlet, Neuman, Mixed)
$\square$ Equivalent Problem

$$
\Delta u=f(x), \quad x \in \Omega \backslash \Gamma, \quad[u]_{\Gamma}=0, \quad\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right]_{\Gamma}=C(s)
$$

BC (e.g., Dirichlet, Neuman, Mixed)
DFD scheme ( $x_{i} y_{j}$ ), regular/irregular

$$
\frac{u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}-4 u_{i, j}}{h^{2}}=L_{h} u_{i, j}=f_{i j}
$$

$$
\frac{u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}-4 u_{i, j}}{h^{2}}=L_{h} u_{i, j}=f_{i j}+C_{i j}
$$

${ }^{2 \pi m a n}$ Poisson Eqn. with singular

## sources

DIB, IIM both work, what's the best discrete delta function? $\rightarrow$ Source removal technique (Li/Lai/Wang)
$\square A U=F+B C ; \quad A$ : Discrete Laplacian. Can be solved by a fast Poisson solver
$\square$ IIM is second order both in solution and gradient (T. Beale/Layton), now to NS equations with fixed/exact interface

## Augmented approach/Fast IIM

- If $\beta$ is two constants, flux jump condition [ $\beta u_{n}$ ] $=C(s)$ along $[u]=w(s)$.
$\square$ Idea:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)=f+\int_{\Gamma} C(s) \delta(x-X(s)) d s \\
& \text { or } \nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)=f,[u]=0,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=C(s) \\
& \text { or } \Delta u=\frac{f}{\beta}+\int_{\Gamma} \frac{C(s)}{?} \delta(x-X(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Idea of the method: divide $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ from the equation to get Poisson eqn., but can not from the flux jump.

Set $\left[u_{n}\right]=g \quad$ as unknown, the augmented variable, the augmented equation is the flux jump condition

## Fast IIM

$\square$ ldea, given $\quad\left[u_{n}\right]=g \quad$ solve the problem with one FFT

$$
A U+B G=F+C=F_{1}
$$

Discretize the flux condition

$$
\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v
$$

## $S U+E G=F_{2}$

$\square$ Schur complement:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(E-S A^{-1} B\right) G=F_{2}-S A^{-1} F_{1}=\bar{F} \\
& R(G)-R(0)=\left(E-S A^{-1} B\right) G=\left[\beta u_{n}(G)\right]-C-\left(\left[\beta u_{n}(0)\right]-C\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Properties of FIIM

$\square$ Second solution, proved
$\square O(N \log (N))$ optimal computation cost. The Number of GMRES iterations
$>$ Independent of jump in the coefficient
$>$ Independent of the mesh size
$>$ Dependent on the geometry
$\square$ Second order accurate $1^{\text {st }}$ order derivatives, observed before, now we have proof.

## Challenges with Variable Coef

$\square$ Maximum preserving FD scheme (direct) for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)+\sigma u=f \\
& {[u]=w, \quad\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square 5$-point at regular, 9-point stencil at irregular grids
$\square$ Using a quadratic optimization to force the maximum principle (Li/lto)
$\square$ Using a structured multigrid method to solve the linear system whose condition is proportional to $O\left(\max \left(\beta^{+} / \beta^{-}, \beta^{-} / \beta^{+}\right) / h^{2}\right)$.
$\square$ The derivative is often $1^{\text {st }}$ order accurate near the interface

## New Method

$\square$ Reformulate the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \cdot(\beta \nabla u)+\sigma u=f,[u]=w,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v \\
& \rightarrow \Delta u+\frac{1}{\beta} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \beta+\frac{\sigma}{\beta} u=\frac{f}{\beta} \\
& {[u]=w,\left[u_{n}\right]=q,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v}
\end{aligned}
$$


$\square$ Conservative FD scheme at regular grid
$\frac{\beta_{i-1 / 2, j} u_{i-1, j}+\beta_{i+1 / 2, j} u_{i+1, j}+\beta_{i, j-1 / 2} u_{i, j-1}+\beta_{i, j+1 / 2} u_{i, j+1}-\bar{\beta} u_{i, j}}{\bar{\beta} h^{2}}+\frac{\sigma_{i j}}{\bar{\beta}} U_{i j}=\frac{f_{i j}}{\bar{\beta}}$
$\bar{\beta}=\beta_{i-1 / 2, j}+\beta_{i+1 / 2, j}+\beta_{i, j-1 / 2}+\beta_{i, j+1 / 2}$

## FD scheme at irregular grid

-Regular method + corrections
$\frac{u_{i-1, j}-2 u_{i, j}+u_{i+1, j}}{h^{2}}=u_{x x}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)+\frac{[u]}{h^{2}}+\frac{\left[u_{x}\right]}{h^{2}}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}^{*}\right)+\frac{\left[u_{x x}\right]}{2 h^{2}}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}^{*}\right)^{2}+O(h)$
$\square$ We know [u], if we know [ $u_{n}$ ], then we know [ $u_{\chi}$ ] and $\left[u_{y}\right]$; how about $\left[u_{x x}\right]$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\xi \xi}^{+}= & \left(\frac{\beta_{\xi}^{-}}{\beta^{+}}-\chi^{\prime \prime}\right) u_{\xi}^{-}+\left(\chi^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\beta_{\xi}^{+}}{\beta^{+}}\right) u_{\xi}^{+}+\frac{\beta_{\eta}^{-}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\eta}^{-}-\frac{\beta_{\eta}^{+}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\eta}^{+} \\
& +(\rho-1) u_{\eta \eta}^{-}+\rho u_{\xi \xi}^{-}-w^{\prime \prime}+\frac{[f]}{\beta^{+}}+\frac{[\sigma] u^{-}+\sigma^{+}[u]}{\beta^{+}}, \\
u_{\eta \eta}^{+}= & u_{\eta \eta}^{-}+\left(u_{\xi}^{-}-u_{\xi}^{+}\right) \chi^{\prime \prime}+w^{\prime \prime}, \\
u_{\xi \eta}^{+}= & \frac{\beta_{\eta}^{-}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\xi}^{-}-\frac{\beta_{\eta}^{+}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\xi}^{+}+\left(u_{\eta}^{+}-\rho u_{\eta}^{-}\right) \chi^{\prime \prime}+\rho u_{\xi \eta}^{-}+\frac{v^{\prime}}{\beta^{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to get second order jumps?

■Key: Use the transformed eqn

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta u+\frac{1}{\beta} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \beta+\frac{\sigma}{\beta} u=\frac{f}{\beta} \\
& {[u]=w,\left[u_{n}\right]=q,\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v}
\end{aligned}
$$


$\square$ Use the local coordinates and lower order jumps and quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\xi \xi}^{+}=u_{\xi \xi}^{-}++\frac{\beta_{\xi}^{-}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\xi}^{-}-\frac{\beta_{\xi}^{+}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\xi}^{+}-\left[u_{n}\right] \kappa+\ldots \\
& u_{\eta \eta}^{+}=u_{\eta \eta}^{-}-\left[u_{n}\right] \kappa+[w]^{\prime \prime} \\
& u_{\xi \eta}^{+}=u_{\xi \eta}^{-}+\frac{\beta_{\eta}^{-}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\xi}^{-}-\frac{\beta_{\eta}^{+}}{\beta^{+}} u_{\xi}^{+}-\left[u_{\eta}\right] \kappa+\frac{\left[u_{n}\right]^{\prime}}{\beta^{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to get jumps in $x-y$ direction?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[u_{x}\right]=\left[u_{\xi}\right] \cos \theta-\left[u_{\eta}\right] \sin \theta,} \\
& {\left[u_{y}\right]=\left[u_{\xi}\right] \sin \theta+\left[u_{\eta}\right] \cos \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\left[u_{x x}\right]=\left[u_{\xi \xi}\right] \cos ^{2} \theta-2\left[u_{\xi \eta}\right] \cos \theta \sin \theta+\left[u_{\eta \eta}\right] \sin ^{2} \theta,
$$

$$
\left[u_{y y}\right]=\left[u_{\xi \xi}\right] \sin ^{2} \theta+2\left[u_{\xi \eta}\right] \cos \theta \sin \theta+\left[u_{\eta \eta}\right] \cos ^{2} \theta
$$

## How to approximate lower order terms?

$\square$ To deal with $u_{x} \beta_{x}, u_{y} \beta_{y}, u_{\xi}^{-}, u_{\eta}^{-}$, we use upwinding discretization so that we get an M-matrix, more diagonally dominant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{j} \leq \alpha<x_{j+1} \\
& {\left[u_{x x}\right]=\left[\frac{f}{\beta}\right]-\frac{\beta_{x}^{+}}{\beta^{+}}\left[u_{x}\right]-\left[\frac{\beta_{x}}{\beta}\right] u_{x}^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

FD scheme

$$
\left[u_{x x}\right] \approx \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{f}{\beta}\right]-\frac{\beta_{x}^{+}}{\beta^{+}} G-\left[\frac{\beta_{x}}{\beta}\right] \frac{U_{j}-U_{j-1}}{h}} & \text { if }\left[\frac{\beta_{x}}{\beta}\right] \leq 0 \\ {\left[\frac{f}{\beta}\right]-\frac{\beta_{x}^{+}}{\beta^{+}}\left[u_{x}\right]-\left[\frac{\beta_{x}}{\beta}\right]\left(\frac{U_{j+1}-U_{j}}{h}+C_{j}\right)} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

# Use GMRES to solve the Schur complement 

Matrix-vector form: $A U+B G=F_{1}$
$\square$ Use a second order least square interpolation to discretize $\quad\left[\beta u_{n}\right]=v$

$$
S U+E G=F_{2}
$$

$\square$ Put together

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
S & E
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{U} \\
G
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
F_{1} \\
F_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\square$ Schur complement

$$
\left(E-S A^{-1} B\right) G=F_{2}-S A^{-1} F_{1}=\bar{F}
$$

## A new preconditioner

DEfficient one for FIIM, it does not work well for variable coef.

$$
\text { If } \beta^{+}<\beta^{-}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{n}^{+} \text {is computed from interpolation } \\
U_{n}^{-}=\frac{v-\beta^{-} G}{[\beta]} \text { from the flux condition }
\end{array}\right.
$$

New one: Simple scaling

$$
\frac{\beta^{+} U_{n}^{+}-\beta^{-} U_{n}^{-}}{\bar{\beta}}-\frac{v}{\bar{\beta}}=0, \bar{\beta}=\frac{\beta^{+}+\beta^{-}}{2}
$$

## Numerical examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin (x+y) \\
\log \left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{10 x} & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \\
\sin (x+y)+2 & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2}>1
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical Example I

| $N_{\text {finest }}$ | $N_{b}$ | $E(U)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}\right)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}\right)$ | order | Iter | CPU(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 96 | $0.28805 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  | $0.88682 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  | $0.12769 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  | 8 | 0.160 |
| 130 | 184 | $0.98473 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.58 | $0.32375 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.49 | $0.46012 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.51 | 8 | 0.533 |
| 258 | 368 | $0.25642 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.96 | $0.88674 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.89 | $0.13434 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.80 | 8 | 2.272 |
| 514 | 728 | $0.66291 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.96 | $0.23339 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.94 | $0.35159 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.94 | 8 | 11.284 |
| 1026 | 1452 | $0.16604 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.00 | $0.58702 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.00 | $0.88848 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.99 | 8 | 38.851 |
| 2050 | 2900 | $0.42837 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.96 | $0.15218 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.95 | $0.22854 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.96 | 8 | 174.056 |




## A benchmark example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x^{2}+y^{2} & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \\
\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{9}{8 b}\right)+\frac{r^{4} / 2+r^{2}}{b}+\frac{C \log (r)}{b} & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2}>1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
b & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \\
x^{2}+y^{2}+1 & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2}>1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \sigma(x, y)=0, f(x)=8\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Results of benchmark example

| $N_{\text {finest }}$ | $N_{b}$ | $E(U)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}\right)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}\right)$ | order | Iter | CPU(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 96 | $0.11806 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | $0.10858 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  | $0.93667 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | 6 | 0.103 |
| 130 | 188 | $0.29244 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.06 | $0.29057 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.94 | $0.25065 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.94 | 6 | 0.342 |
| 258 | 368 | $0.71380 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.06 | $0.70487 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.07 | $0.60806 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.07 | 5 | 1.258 |
| 514 | 732 | $0.16640 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.11 | $0.17465 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.02 | $0.15052 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.03 | 5 | 5.540 |
| 1026 | 1456 | $0.41334 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.01 | $0.44847 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.97 | $0.38020 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.99 | 4 | 20.863 |
| 2050 | 2908 | $0.10796 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.94 | $0.11771 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.93 | $0.98363 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.95 | 4 | 201.511 |




## A more general example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x^{2}-y^{2} & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \\
\sin x \cos y & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2}>1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
e^{x} & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \\
x^{2}+y^{2}=1 & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2}>1
\end{array}\right. \\
& -\sigma(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sqrt{x^{2}+4 y^{2}} & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \\
\log \left(x^{2}+y^{2}+1\right) & \text { if } & x^{2}+y^{2}>1
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## 

| $N_{\text {finest }}$ | $N_{b}$ | $E(U)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}\right)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}\right)$ | order | Iter | CPU(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 96 | $0.85969 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  | $0.95542 \mathrm{D}-02$ |  | $0.59623 \mathrm{D}-02$ |  | 4 | 0.077 |
| 130 | 184 | $0.18786 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.24 | $0.25599 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.94 | $0.15968 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.94 | 4 | 0.318 |
| 258 | 368 | $0.55591 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.78 | $0.74684 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.80 | $0.49691 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.70 | 4 | 1.272 |
| 514 | 728 | $0.12783 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.13 | $0.18721 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.01 | $0.12500 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.00 | 4 | 6.473 |
| 1026 | 1452 | $0.26051 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.30 | $0.46393 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.02 | $0.31318 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.00 | 4 | 23.586 |
| 2050 | 2900 | $0.74611 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.81 | $0.11647 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.00 | $0.81641 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.94 | 4 | 107.544 |




## A complicated interface

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u(x, y)= \begin{cases}x^{2}+y^{2} & \text { if } \\
\frac{r^{4}}{b}+\frac{C \log (r)}{b} & \text { if } \quad x^{2} \leq y^{2}>1\end{cases} \\
\beta(x, y)= \begin{cases}b & \text { if } \\
b x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \\
x^{2}+y^{2}+1 & \text { if } \\
x^{2}+y^{2}>1\end{cases} \\
X=\left(r_{0}+\varepsilon \sin (k \theta)\right) \cos (\theta), \quad k=5
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& Y=\left(r_{0}+\varepsilon \sin (k \theta)\right) \sin (\theta), \quad r_{0}=0.5, \quad \varepsilon=0.2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Results for Complicated 「

| $N_{\text {finest }}$ | $N_{b}$ | $E(U)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}\right)$ | order | $E\left(U_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}\right)$ | order | Iter | CPU(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | 312 | $0.36754 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  | $0.23305 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | $0.26544 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | 7 | 0.576 |
| 258 | 618 | $0.10946 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.77 | $0.55982 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.08 | $0.63760 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.08 | 7 | 2.175 |
| 514 | 1230 | $0.17091 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.69 | $0.15400 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.87 | $0.17541 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.87 | 7 | 13.775 |
| 1026 | 2452 | $0.30145 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.51 | $0.42371 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.87 | $0.48265 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.87 | 7 | 41.462 |
| 2050 | 4898 | $0.92522 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.71 | $0.10589 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.00 | $0.12065 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.00 | 7 | 276.882 |




## Number of GMRES Iteration




## Convergence Analysis

DDiscrete Green function for 1D problem, the Schur complement is non-singular if $[\beta] \neq 0$.
$\square$ Thm: If $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a second order accurate $\boldsymbol{O}\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{2}\right)$, then $\boldsymbol{u}_{h}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{h}}{ }^{\prime}$ is also second order in Linfinity norm (from comparison theorem and Beale's proof)
DThm: If the interpolation scheme is second order for $\left[\beta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right]=\boldsymbol{v}$, then computed $\left[\boldsymbol{u}_{x}\right]$ is also second order. Thus $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{h}}$ is also second order.

## ${ }^{\text {zum }}$ 'Discrete Green functions for piecewise constant coef

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(x, y)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
x(1-y) & \text { if } x \leq \alpha \\
y(1-x) & \text { if } x \leq \alpha
\end{aligned}\right. \\
& A_{i j}^{-1}=h G\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \\
& E_{i}^{u}=h \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}^{u} G\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Property of Schur complement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D-C A^{-1} B\right)=\left[\beta u_{x}\right]_{\left[u_{x}\right]=1}-\left[\beta u_{x}\right]_{\left[u_{x}\right]=0} \\
& \left(D-C A^{-1} B\right) E^{q}=-\tau^{q}-C A^{-1} \tau^{u} \\
& \tau^{u}=\tau_{\text {reg }}^{u}+\tau_{\text {ireg }}^{u}=O\left(h^{2}\right)+O(h) \\
& A^{-1} \tau^{u}=O\left(h^{2}\right), C A^{-1} \tau^{u}=O\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conclusions

$\square$ A new method for general elliptic interface problem with both $2^{\text {nd }}$ order solution and the first order derivatives
$>$ Introduce an augmented variable
$\Rightarrow$ A second order discretization leading to an M-matrix plus a second interpolation scheme for the flux
$>$ No optimization is needed
$>$ The number of GMRES iteration is independent of the mesh size and jump in the coefficient
> Convergence proof
$\square$ Best method in FD using Cartesian meshes? (accept challenges!)
$\square$ Second order derivatives (curvature etc)
$\square$ Q: Why does the preconditioning work so well?

## Thank you!

## Solving Poisson Eqn. (regular)

$\square$ Regular domain (rectangular, circles,..), no interface/ singularity

$$
\Delta u=f(x)
$$

BC (e.g. Dirichlet, Neuman, Mixed)
$\square$ The FD scheme at $\left(x_{i} y_{j}\right)$
$\frac{u_{i-1, j}+u_{i+1, j}+u_{i, j-1}+u_{i, j+1}-4 u_{i, j}}{h^{2}}=L_{h} u_{i, j}=f_{i j}$
$\square A U=F ; \quad A$ : Discrete Laplacian. Can be solved by a fast Poisson solver (e.g. FFT, $O\left(N^{2}\right) \log (N)$ ), e.g., Fish-pack, or structured multigrid

## Flow chart to the new method

Regular Problem/Regular Method $\leftarrow \rightarrow$
Interface Problem with Singular Source (Regular Method + Correction Terms) $\leftrightarrow[\beta] \neq 0$, Augmented variable [ $\left.u_{n}\right]$ (bigger equations) and interpolation of the flux condition (smaller equation) $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ Schur complement (GMRES iteration + preconditioning)

## Some Examples of Irregular Domain

$\square$ Estimate the permeability of concrete (IMSM problem): 5 minutes to solve the Laplace eqn. external to the particles! Compared with Monte Carlo estimates (168 hrs.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u & =0, \\
\left.u\right|_{R} & =0, \quad u_{n}=C, \quad u_{n}=0 \quad \text { etc. } .
\end{aligned}
$$



## An example of Fast IIM

QInterface: $\quad r(\theta)=r_{0}+0.2 \sin (k \theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$
(a)
(b)

$\square$ Exact soln:

$$
u(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{r^{2}}{\beta^{-}} & \text {if }(x, y) \in \Omega^{-} \\ \frac{r^{4}+C_{0} \log (2 r)}{\beta^{+}}+C_{1}\left(\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{\beta^{-}}-\frac{r_{0}^{4}+C_{0} \log \left(2 r_{0}\right)}{\beta^{+}}\right) & \text {if }(x, y) \in \Omega^{+}\end{cases}
$$

## An example of Fast IIM

| $n$ | $\beta^{+}$ | $\beta^{-}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $k$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 2 | 1 | $2.28510^{-8}$ | $2.2310^{-3}$ | $7.43410^{-9}$ |  |  |  | 7 |
| 80 | 2 | 1 | $5.22510^{-4}$ | $5.95610^{-3}$ | $1.98710^{-2}$ | 4.37 | 3.74 | 3.74 | 7 |
| 160 | 2 | 1 | $1.26910^{-4}$ | $1.82710^{-4}$ | $6.10110^{-4}$ | 4.12 | 3.26 | 3.26 | 7 |
| 320 | 2 | 1 | $2.98810^{-5}$ | $5.03810^{-5}$ | $1.67810^{-4}$ | 4.25 | 3.63 | 3.64 | 7 |


| $n$ | $\beta^{+}$ | $\beta^{-}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $k$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 10000 | 1 | $6.55210^{-5}$ | $6.33110^{-4}$ | $2.11010^{-4}$ |  |  |  | 8 |
| 80 | 10000 | 1 | $7.84710^{-6}$ | $8.36610^{-5}$ | $2.78510^{-5}$ | 8.35 | 7.57 | 7.58 | 8 |
| 160 | 10000 | 1 | $5.98810^{-7}$ | $9.19210^{-7}$ | $3.03310^{-6}$ | 13.1 | 9.10 | 9.18 | 8 |
| 320 | 10000 | 1 | $5.85910^{-8}$ | $2.05810^{-7}$ | $6.88710^{-7}$ | 10.2 | 4.47 | 4.40 | 7 |

## Special Cases \& Idea

Dif $\beta=1$, then IIM has both second order solution and derivatives (Beale/Layton)
If $\beta$ is a piecewise constant (e.g. 1000:1 or 1:1000), then the augmented IIM has both second order solution \& derivatives (observed before and has been proved now)
$>$ I think it is the best Cartesian method with optimal cost?
-What's new: second order solution \& derivative for variable coefficients with proof based on the augmented IIM

