An optimal, fully implicit, fully conservative, and equilibrium preserving Vlasov-Fokker-Planck code for spherical ICF capsule implosions

CSE 2017, Atlanta, GA

Metropolis Postdoctoral Fellowship, Thermonuclear Burn Initiative, LDRD



EST 1943 -



March 2, 2017



LA-UR 17-21529

Outline of talk



March 2, 2017

10:50AM-11:10AM

Grand Ballroom 2nd floor MS222



Brief physics motivation

Vlasov-Fokker-Planck

- Numerical challenges
 - Length and time scales
 - Discrete conservation and equilibrium preserving properties
- Our solution
 - · Adaptive grid and implicit solver
 - Discrete nonlinear constraints
- Verification studies and preliminary simulation of imploding Omega capsule
- Conclusion



Progress since last CSE (2015)

Progress since last CSE (2015)

At last CSE meeting:

- 0D2V grid adaptivity
- Coarse grained asymptotics for disparate v_{th} ratio
- Conservation (mass, momentum, energy) for collision operator and Vlasov pieces

• Updates:

- Fluid electrons and electric fields
- Spherical geometry and adaptivity in space
- New equilibrium preserving discretization for the Rosenbluth-Fokker-Planck form
- New null space preserving discretization for the geometrical inertial term
- Suite of verification studies
- Physics simulations

We have many updates. In fact so many that we cannot possibly cover all!

Main take away from this talk



- Project began exactly 3 years ago (very high paced R&D)
- iFP is a first of a kind multi-scale simulation capability
 - Fully implicit, scalable (both algorithmic and parallel)
 - Optimal grid adaptivity
 - Analytical equilibrium preserving property and other discrete null space preserving properties
 - Strict conservation enforced
 - Strict verification campaign against hydro limit and other codes

Began ICF physics campaign simulation

First capsule implosion simulation with hydro boundary conditions

How does ICF (indirect driver) work at NIF?



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wg8R1IrAiM4

Recent ICF experiments have highlighted wide gaps in our understanding and predictive simulation capabilities

- Contrary to radhydro simulation predictions, NIF's NIC and consecutive campaigns have failed to achieve ignition
- Recent OMEGA campaigns have highlighted serious deficiencies in our ability to
 - Predict capsule **compression and yield** (both are over-predicted)
 - Predict time-dependent core mix (especially when hydro instabilities are not expected to play a role)

Hydrodynamics breaks down in certain regimes of ICF capsule implosion and a kinetic model is required



Discrepancies btw experiment and simulations consistently increase with Knudsen number. *Radiation-hydrodynamics is not valid in many key stages in ICF implosion!*

Los Alamos National Laboratory

We need high fidelity Vlasov-Fokker-Planck code to understand impact of missing physics in hydro

 Kinetic ions: Vlasov-Fokker-Planck is considered a first principles model for weakly coupled plasmas

$$\frac{Df_i}{Dt} \equiv \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla f_i + \vec{a}_i \cdot \nabla_v f_i = \sum_j C_{ij} \left(f_i, f_j \right)$$

Fluid model for electrons

$$\frac{3}{2}\partial_t \left(n_e T_e \right) + \frac{5}{2}\nabla \cdot \left(\vec{u}_e n_e T_e \right) + \vec{u}_e \cdot \nabla P_e + \nabla \cdot \vec{Q}_e = \sum_{\alpha}^{N_s} \left[W_{e\alpha} + \vec{u}_e \cdot \vec{F}_{e\alpha} \right]$$

Quasi-neutrality and ambipolarity to close system

$$n_e = -q_e^{-1} \sum_{\alpha}^{N_s} q_\alpha n_\alpha$$
$$\nabla \cdot \vec{J} = \nabla \cdot \left[q_e n_e \vec{u}_e + \sum_{\alpha}^{N_s} q_\alpha n_\alpha u_\alpha \right] = 0$$
$$\vec{a} = \frac{q_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \vec{E} = \frac{q_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \frac{\nabla P_e + \sum_{\alpha}^{N_s} \vec{F}_{e\alpha}}{q_e n_e}$$

Solving Vlasov-Fokker-Planck requires many algorithmic innovations

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} \equiv \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla f_i + \vec{a}_i \cdot \nabla_v f_i = \sum_j C_{ij} (f_i, f_j)$$

$$\frac{C_{ij} (f_i, f_j)}{C_{ij} (f_i, f_j)} = \Gamma_{ij} \nabla_v \cdot \left[D_j \cdot \nabla_v f_i - \frac{m_i}{m_j} A_j f_i \right]$$

$$\frac{D_j}{D_j} = \nabla_v \nabla_v G_j \qquad A_j = \nabla_v H_j$$

$$\nabla_v^2 H_j (\vec{v}) = -8\pi f_j (\vec{v})$$

$$\nabla_v^2 G_j (\vec{v}) = H_j (\vec{v})$$

- A nonlinear integral-differential equation
- Supports conservation of mass, momentum, and energy and positivity (numerically, these are constraints that must be ensured for long time accuracy and stability)
- Supports disparate length and time scales
- Supports a non-trivial null space (Maxwellian)



Challenges of the problem

Mesh resolution challenges of VFP

•FP

- Disparate temperatures during implosion dictate velocity resolution.
 - V_{th,max} determines L_v
 V_{th,min} determines Δv
 V
 V
 Hot
 Gold pecies
 essentially a delta function on hot species' mesh

 Shock width and capsule size dictate physical space resolution



Taitano et al., JCP, 318, 2016

Static mesh with explicit methods, not practical

- Intra species $v_{th,max} / v_{th,min} \sim 100$
- Inter species $(v_{th,\alpha} / v_{th,\beta})_{max} \sim 30$
- $N_v \sim [10(v_{th,max}/v_{th,min})x(v_{th,\alpha}/v_{th,\beta})]^2 \sim 10^9$
- $N_r \sim 10^3 10^4$
- $N=N_rN_v \sim 10^{12}-10^{13}$ unknowns in 1D2V!

$$\Delta t_{exp}^{coll} \sim \frac{1}{10} \left(\frac{\Delta v}{v_{th}^{min}}\right)^2 \nu_{coll}^{-1} \sim 10^{-9} \, ns$$

- t_{sim}=1 ns
- N_t>=10⁹ time steps

Conservation is also critical





Equilibrium preservation is also critical



Our solution: Adaptive grid Implicit solver Exact discrete conservation

Adaptive mesh and implicit method makes problem tractable

- v-space adaptivity with v_{th} normalization, $\widehat{v} = v/v_{th}$, N_v~10⁴-10⁵
- Lagrangian mesh in physical space, $N_r \sim 10^2$
- $N=N_vN_r\sim 10^6\sim 10^7$ (vs. 10^{12} with static mesh)

- Multigrid preconditioned optimal nonlinear implicit solver [Chacon et al., JCP, 157 (2000)], Δt_{imp}=Δt_{str}~10⁻³ ns
- N_t~10³-10⁴ (vs. 10¹⁰ with explicit methods)

v_{th} adaptivity in velocity space allows optimal mesh resolution throughout domain



v_{th} adaptive Mesh

Static Mesh

Moving mesh in physical space: Implode mesh with capsule to track shock



1D spherical (with logical mesh); 2D cylindrical geometry in velocity space



Adaptivity introduces inertial terms in the conservation equation

•FP

VRFP equation in transformed coordinates

$$\partial_{t} \left(\sqrt{g_{v}} J_{r\xi} f_{\alpha} \right) + \partial_{\xi} \left(\sqrt{g_{v}} v_{th,\alpha} \left[\widehat{v}_{||} - \widehat{f}_{\alpha} \right] f_{\alpha} \right) + \\ \partial_{\widehat{v}_{||}} \left(J_{r\xi} \sqrt{g_{v}} \widehat{v}_{\perp} f_{\alpha} \right) + \partial_{\widehat{v}_{\perp}} \left(J_{r\xi} \sqrt{g_{v}} \widehat{v}_{\perp} f_{\alpha} \right) = J_{r\xi} \sqrt{g_{v}} \sum_{\beta}^{N_{s}} C_{\alpha\beta} \left(f_{\alpha}, f_{\beta} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{v}_{||} &= - \underbrace{\widehat{v}_{||}}{2} \left(v_{th,\alpha}^{-2} \partial_t v_{th,\alpha}^2 + J_{r\xi}^{-1} \left(\widehat{v}_{||} - \widehat{x} \right) v_{th,\alpha}^{-1} \partial_{\xi} v_{th,\alpha}^2 \right) + \underbrace{\widehat{v}_{\perp}^2 v_{th,\alpha}}_{r} + \frac{q_{\alpha} E_{||}}{J_{r\xi} m_{\alpha} v_{th,\alpha}} \\ \widehat{v}_{\perp} &= - \underbrace{\widehat{v}_{\perp}}{2} \left(v_{th,\alpha}^{-2} \partial_t v_{th,\alpha}^2 + J_{r\xi}^{-1} \left(\widehat{v}_{||} - \widehat{x} \right) v_{th,\alpha}^{-1} \partial_{\xi} v_{th,\alpha}^2 \right) + \underbrace{\widehat{v}_{\parallel} \widehat{v}_{\perp} v_{th,\alpha}}_{r} \\ \widehat{v}_{\parallel} \widehat{v}_{\perp} v_{th,\alpha} + \underbrace{J_{r\xi}^{-1} \left(\widehat{v}_{\parallel} - \widehat{x} \right) v_{th,\alpha}^{-1} \partial_{\xi} v_{th,\alpha}^2 }_{r} \\ \widehat{v}_{\parallel} \widehat{v}_{\perp} v_{th,\alpha} + \underbrace{J_{r\xi}^{-1} \left(\widehat{v}_{\parallel} - \widehat{x} \right) v_{th,\alpha}^{-1} \partial_{\xi} v_{th,\alpha}^2 }_{r} \end{split}$$

Taitano et al., JCP, 318, 2016 Taitano et al., JCP, 2017, in preparation Taitano et al., JCP, 2018, in preparation

v_{th} adaptivity provides an enabling capability to simulate ICF plasmas

1600

- D-e-α, 3 species thermalization problem
- Resolution with static grid:

 $N_v \sim 2 \left(\frac{v_{th,e,\infty}}{v_{th,D,0}}\right)^2 = 140000 \times 70000$

Resolution with adaptivity and asymptotics:

 $N_v = 128 \times 64$

Mesh savings of

 $\sim 10^6$

1400 1200 1000 800 600 400 200 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 0 time

Taitano et al., JCP, 318, 2016

Our solution: Adaptive grid Implicit solver Exact discrete conservation

Implicit solver strategy: Preconditioned Anderson Acceleration

We drive the nonlinear residual to zero

$$R = \partial_t f + VE(f) - \left[\underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \nabla_v f - \underline{\underline{A}} f\right] = 0$$

• Consider a fixed point map of form:

$$G(f_k) = f_k - P_k^{-1} R_k = f_{k+1}$$

- If $P_k=J_k$, Newton's method
- Anderson updates the solution by using history (nonlinear) of solutions to accelerate convergence via: m_k

$$f_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{m_k} \alpha_i^{(k)} G(f_{k-m_k+i})$$

$$P^{-1}R = P_x^{-1}P_v^{-1}R$$

Step 1: Velocity space operators (including collisions)

$$P_v = \partial_t \circ + V E_v \circ - \left[\underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \nabla_v \circ - \vec{A} \circ\right]$$

Step 2: Streaming operator

$$P_x = \partial_t + v \partial_x \circ$$

Preconditioner is a convergence *accelerator!*

No splitting error will be present in the actual solution (driven by the nonlinear residual)

Preconditioner is effective in reducing iteration



Implicit solver performance is optimal



Solver CPU time versus size of unknown

The code is scalable





Rosenbluth-FP collision operator: conservation properties results from symmetries

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{v} \cdot \left[\vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,G} - \frac{m_{\alpha}}{m_{\beta}} \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right]$$
Mess
$$\langle 1, C_{\alpha\beta} \rangle_{\vec{v}} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \left[\vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,G} - \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right]_{\vec{\delta}v} = 0$$
Momentum
$$m_{\alpha} \langle \vec{v}, C_{\alpha\beta} \rangle_{\vec{v}} = -m_{\beta} \langle \vec{v}, C_{\beta\alpha} \rangle_{\vec{v}} \qquad \Rightarrow \left\{ \langle 1, J_{\alpha\beta,G}^{\parallel} - J_{\beta\alpha,H}^{\parallel} \rangle_{\vec{v}} = 0 \right\}$$
Energy
$$m_{\alpha} \left\{ \langle v^{2}, C_{\alpha\beta} \rangle_{\vec{v}} \right\} = -m_{\beta} \left\{ \langle v^{2}, C_{\beta\alpha} \rangle_{\vec{v}} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \vec{v}, \vec{J}_{\beta\alpha,G} - \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right\}_{\vec{v}} = 0$$

2V Rosenbluth-FP collision operator: numerical conservation of energy

•FP

- The symmetry to enforce is: $\left\langle \vec{v}, \vec{J}_{\beta\alpha,G} \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right\rangle_{\vec{v}} = 0$
- Due to discretization error: $\left\langle \vec{v}, \vec{J}_{\beta\alpha,G} \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right\rangle_{\vec{v}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta_v\right)$
- Introduce a constraint coefficient:

$$\left\langle \vec{v}, \gamma_{\beta\alpha} \vec{J}_{\beta\alpha,G} - \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right\rangle_{\vec{v}} = 0 \quad \gamma_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{\left\langle \vec{v}, \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right\rangle_{\vec{v}}}{\left\langle \vec{v}, \vec{J}_{\beta\alpha,G} \right\rangle_{\vec{v}}} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta_{v}\right)$$
$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{v} \cdot \left[\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,G} - \frac{m_{\alpha}}{m_{\beta}} \vec{J}_{\alpha\beta,H} \right]$$

Taitano et al., JCP, 297, 2015

Single-species initial random distribution thermalizes to a Maxwellian and HOLDS IT



Taitano et al., JCP, 297, 2015

Conservation properties enforced down to nonlinear convergence tolerance



Taitano et al., JCP, 297, 2015

The end product is a reliable code



 Comparison between a reference iFP and fluid simulation for a two ion species M=1.5 (weak) shock problem



First capsule implosion simulation

First implosion calculation

FP

- D-He³ fill Omega capsule simulation with hydro boundary for fuel [O. Larroche, PoP, 2012, collaborator]
- Studied to investigate Rygg effect [J. R. Rygg et al. PoP, 2006]
- FPion was first used to investigate fuel stratification to explain reactivity drop by Rygg et al.



FIG. 3. Lagrangian (r, t) diagram of the fuel in the hydrodynamical simulation, from which initial and boundary conditions are extracted for the kinetic calculation.

Simulation observes fuel stratification. We might be able to explain experiment (current effort)



Kn reveals that D mean free path is on order capsule, size for an appreciable time post shock convergence



$$Kn = \frac{\lambda_{mfp}}{R_{capsule}}$$

Los Alamos National Laboratory

Main take away from this talk



• iFP is a first of a kind multi-scale simulation capability

- Fully implicit, scalable (both algorithmic and parallel)
- Optimal grid adaptivity
- Analytical equilibrium preserving and other discrete null space preserving properties
- Strict conservation enforced
- Strict verification campaign against hydro limit and other codes

Began ICF physics campaign simulation

First capsule implosion simulation with hydro boundary conditions

Future Work



- Capsule implosion with self consistent pusher species included
- Investigate more ICF relevant physics
 - Rygg (inverse) effect
 - Kinetically enhanced pusher mix into fuel
 - Kinetic effects on fuel convergence reduction
- Implementation of neutron and thermal radiation transport packages to investigate multi-physics aspects of ICF implosion



- Mentors : Andrei N. Simakov, Luis Chacón
- Team iFP : William T. Taitano , Luis Chacón , Andrei N. Simakov, Brett Keenan
- Sponsors : Metropolis PD Fellowship, TBI
 , LDRD
- Collaborators : CEA, MIT, LLNL
 , Kinetic Effects Group at LANL





is the logo pretty?